

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/723,865	11/28/2000	Donald E. Mosing	Frank's Casing-075	6416	
7:	590 01/18/2002				
Tim L. Burges			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
The Matthews Firm 1900 West Loop South, Suite 1800			HAWKINS GAY	HAWKINS GAY, JENNIFER M	
Houston, TX	77027		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3672		
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	TH						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/723,865	MOSING ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jennifer H Gay	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.134 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum Il apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becondate of this communication, en	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ıunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,-	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>!- ¹/</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 November 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 er:					

Application/Control Number: 09/723,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "1225" has been used to designate both a bottom plate and an annular sleeve. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following are examples of reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 1029, 1044a-1044f, 1051, 1053, 1054a-1054f, 1035a-1035c, 1035e, 1035f, 1063a, 1064a, 1063b, 1064b, 1217b, 1273b, 1270b, 1271b, 1218b, 1277b, and 1276b. There are numerous other reference numbers that are listed in the specification but not included in the drawings. The drawings and specifications should be reviewed and any similar errors corrected. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following are examples of reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 1040a, 1153d, 1194d, 1035d, 1040d, 1139a, 1153f, 1153b, 1136a, and 1153. There are numerous other reference numbers that have been included in the drawings but not listed in the specification. The drawings and specifications should be reviewed and any similar errors corrected. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to because in Figure 21, "1016" should be changed to --1116-. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/723,865

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones et al. (US 3,495,864 or US 3,588,162).

Jones et al. discloses a flapper-type pipe elevator. The elevator includes an annular body (11) that has a top and a central cavity (13 and 26) through which wellbore tubulars can pass and a set of lifting arms (14 and 15) to connect the elevator to the drawworks of a derrick. The elevator includes two flappers (32 and 39) that are located on opposite sides of the cavity and are pivotally connected to the top. The flappers include a distal end that is pivotally connected to a base ear (27 and 28) through which a hinge pin (29 and 31) is inserted. The proximal end of the flapper includes a concave arc (37, 38, and 44) to fit around wellbore tubulars positioned within the cavity. The flappers are also attached to a handle (72) that are used to extend and retract the flappers into and out of the cavity. When the flappers are extended into the cavity they form a rough circle that is smaller than the diameter of the collars of the tubulars but larger than the tubes themselves. (See col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 35, col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 20, col. 6, line 20-col. 10, and Figures 5, 6, and 7 of '162 and col. 3, line 74-col. 4, line 45, col. 6, lines 5-40, col. 7, line 50-col. 8, line 35, and Figures 5, 6, and 7 of '864)

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 09/723,865

Art Unit: 3672

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 09/724,473. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-12 of Application No. 09/724,473 are merely of broader scope than those of the instant application and could be used to reject the instant application if found in a patent.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Coyle, Sr., McFadden, Penisson, Martin, Haynes, Moore, Hosie et al., Boster, Berg, Krasnov, and Haney et al. disclose various elevators and the methods of using them. The elevators include devices that are extended and retracted from the bowl of the elevator to either support tubing or allow it to pass.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H Gay whose telephone number is (703) 308-2881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JHG() January 15, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600